

Orthodontic Retainers – A Critical Review

Nagarathna KN^{1*}, Mithun J. Shah²

¹ Department of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, KGF College of Dental Sciences, Robertsonpet, Karnataka, India.

²Department of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, KGF College of Dental Sciences and Hospital, Robertsonpet, Karnataka, India.

Abstract

Orthodontic retainers play a crucial role in maintaining the results achieved through orthodontic treatment by preventing relapse and ensuring long-term stability. This review critically examines the various types of orthodontic retainers, including removable and fixed retainers, and evaluates their effectiveness, patient compliance, advantages, and disadvantages. The review also explores recent advancements in retainer materials and designs, their impact on oral hygiene, and long-term retention outcomes. By analyzing current literature, this review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the best practices in retainer use and offer insights into future directions for research and clinical practice.

Keywords: Fixed retainers, Long-term stability, Oral hygiene, Orthodontic retainers, Orthodontic treatment, Relapse prevention, Removable retainers, Retainer materials.

INTRODUCTION

Orthodontic treatment aims to correct malocclusions and achieve an aesthetically pleasing and functionally stable dentition. However, maintaining these results after active treatment poses a significant challenge due to the tendency of teeth to relapse toward their original positions.^[1] Retainers are essential in orthodontics to preserve the treatment outcomes and prevent relapse.^[2] The use of retainers has become an integral part of orthodontic practice, with various types available to suit different clinical needs and patient preferences.

Retainers can be broadly classified into two categories: Removable and fixed. Removable retainers, such as Hawley and clear thermoplastic retainers, offer the advantage of being easy to clean and adjustable.^[3] However, their effectiveness heavily relies on patient compliance, which can be inconsistent.^[4] On the other hand, fixed retainers, typically bonded to the lingual surfaces of the anterior teeth, provide continuous retention without requiring patient action, though they may pose challenges in maintaining oral hygiene.^[5]

The evolution of retainer materials and designs has significantly impacted their performance and patient satisfaction. Advances in materials science have led to the development of more durable and esthetically pleasing retainers.^[6] Moreover, recent studies have focused on the long-term outcomes of different retention strategies, comparing their effectiveness in preventing relapse.^[7]

Despite the widespread use of retainers, there is still debate regarding the optimal duration and type of retention. Some orthodontists advocate for lifelong retention, while others recommend a limited period based on individual patient needs.^[8] Understanding the factors influencing retainer choice and adherence is crucial for optimizing retention protocols and ensuring the long-term success of orthodontic treatment.

This review aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of orthodontic retainers, including their types, effectiveness, advantages, disadvantages, and impact on oral hygiene. By critically examining the current literature, this review seeks to offer insights into best practices for retainer use and highlight areas for future research.

REVIEW

Orthodontic retainers are essential for maintaining the alignment and occlusal relationships achieved through orthodontic treatment. This review aims to evaluate various types of retainers, their effectiveness, patient compliance, and recent advancements, providing a comprehensive understanding for clinical practice.

Address for correspondence: K. N. Nagarathna,
Department of Orthodontics, KGF College of Dental Sciences, Robertsonpet,
Karnataka, India.
Email: nagarathna_kn@yahoo.com

Received: May. 02, 2024; **Accepted:** Jun. 22, 2024; **Published:** Jun. 28, 2024

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

How to cite this article: Nagarathna KN, Shah MJ. Orthodontic Retainers – A Critical Review. J Res Adv Dent 2024;15(5):1-4.

Access this article online

Website:
www.jrad.co.in

DOI:
<https://doi.org/10.53064/jrad.2024.15.5.559>

History and evolution of orthodontic retainers

The development of orthodontic retainers has a rich history, beginning with the early use of simple wire appliances to maintain tooth position. Over the decades, advancements in materials and techniques have led to more sophisticated and effective retention methods. The introduction of acrylic materials in the 1950s and the development of clear thermoplastic retainers in the 1990s significantly improved the esthetics and comfort of retainers.^[1] This section will explore the historical milestones and key innovations in the design and application of orthodontic retainers.

Types of orthodontic retainers

Orthodontic retainers are broadly categorized into removable and fixed types. Removable retainers include Hawley retainers and clear thermoplastic retainers.^[1,2] Fixed retainers, also known as bonded retainers, are typically attached to the lingual surfaces of anterior teeth.^[3]

Removable retainers

Hawley retainers, consisting of a metal wire and acrylic base, are adjustable and durable.^[4] Clear thermoplastic retainers, such as Essix retainers, are less noticeable but require strict patient compliance.^[5] Studies have shown that both types are effective, but their success largely depends on patient adherence.^[6]

Fixed retainers

Fixed retainers offer the advantage of continuous retention without requiring patient action, which can lead to higher effectiveness in preventing relapse.^[7] However, they may complicate oral hygiene maintenance and increase the risk of plaque accumulation and gingival inflammation.^[8]

Effectiveness of retainers

The effectiveness of orthodontic retainers varies among types. Fixed retainers are generally more effective in maintaining tooth position compared to removable retainers due to continuous force application.^[9] However, patient compliance significantly impacts the success of removable retainers.^[10]

PATIENT COMPLIANCE

Patient compliance is a critical factor in the success of removable retainers. Studies indicate that compliance decreases over time, impacting the effectiveness of retention.^[11] Educating patients on the importance of retainer wear and regular follow-ups are essential for improving compliance.^[12]

Advantages and disadvantages

Removable retainers offer the advantage of being easy to clean and adjust, but they rely heavily on patient compliance.^[13] Fixed retainers, while providing continuous retention, can complicate oral hygiene and are associated with increased plaque accumulation.^[14]

IMPACT ON ORAL HYGIENE

Fixed retainers can hinder effective oral hygiene practices, leading to an increased risk of dental plaque and gingival

inflammation.^[15] Regular professional cleanings and patient education on oral hygiene practices are crucial for managing these risks.^[16]

Recent advances in retainer materials

Advancements in materials science have led to the development of more durable and esthetically pleasing retainers. Clear thermoplastic materials, such as those used in Essix retainers, have improved in durability and comfort.^[17] In addition, newer fixed retainer designs aim to minimize their impact on oral hygiene.^[18]

Long-term retention outcomes

Long-term studies suggest that both removable and fixed retainers are effective in maintaining orthodontic treatment outcomes when used appropriately.^[19] Lifelong retention may be necessary for some patients to prevent relapse, although this remains a topic of debate among orthodontists.^[8]

Comparison of different retainer types

Orthodontic retainers can be categorized into removable and fixed types, each with its unique features and applications. Removable retainers, such as Hawley and Essix retainers, offer flexibility and ease of cleaning but require patient compliance.^[2] Fixed retainers, bonded to the lingual surfaces of teeth, provide continuous retention but can complicate oral hygiene.^[3] This section will provide a detailed comparison of the different types of retainers, including their design, material composition, and clinical indications.

Biomechanics of retainers

The effectiveness of orthodontic retainers is grounded in biomechanical principles that ensure the stability of corrected tooth positions. Retainers exert forces that counteract the natural tendency of teeth to relapse toward their pre-treatment positions.^[4] Understanding these principles is essential for optimizing retainer design and application. This section will delve into the biomechanics involved in the functioning of various retainers and how they contribute to preventing relapse.

PATIENT-CENTERED CONSIDERATIONS

Patient compliance, comfort, and satisfaction play a crucial role in the success of orthodontic retention. Factors such as the visibility, comfort, and ease of use of retainers significantly influence patient adherence to retention protocols.^[5] This section will explore the impact of these factors on patient compliance and the overall effectiveness of retention strategies. In addition, it will discuss strategies for improving patient education and motivation.

RETENTION PROTOCOLS AND GUIDELINES

Retention protocols vary widely among orthodontists, with differences in the duration and type of retention recommended. Some practitioners advocate for lifelong retention, while others recommend a limited period based on individual patient needs.^[6] This section will review current best practices, protocols, and

guidelines for orthodontic retention, highlighting evidence-based recommendations, and areas of ongoing debate.

Innovations in retainer design

Recent advancements in materials and technology have led to innovative retainer designs that enhance both function and esthetics. Developments in 3D printing and CAD/CAM technology have enabled the production of highly customized retainers.^[7] This section will discuss these innovations and their impact on the effectiveness, comfort, and patient satisfaction of orthodontic retainers.

Recent advancements in orthodontic retainers have introduced microsensor technology to enhance patient compliance monitoring. A notable innovation is the Smart Retainer, which incorporates an environmental microsensor into various removable orthodontic appliances. This microsensor, developed by Scientific Compliance, is a compact device that monitors and records the retainer's wear time using advanced electronic components. It collects environmental data at preset intervals, which is then wirelessly transmitted to a proprietary USB-powered Smart Reader. This reader decrypts and analyzes the data, providing orthodontists with detailed and accurate insights into the patient's actual retainer usage. The resulting information can be displayed in user-friendly charts, facilitating effective communication between orthodontists, patients, and their parents about adherence to prescribed wear schedules.^[20]

The primary advantage of the Smart Retainer is its ability to provide objective data on retainer usage, thereby eliminating the inconsistencies of patient-reported compliance. Traditional methods of assessing retainer wear often rely on patient self-reporting, which can be unreliable. The Smart Retainer's microsensor technology ensures precise monitoring, helping orthodontists to identify discrepancies between reported and actual usage. This data-driven approach enables orthodontists to make informed decisions and recommendations regarding retention strategies, ultimately aiming to improve the longevity and stability of orthodontic treatment outcomes. By integrating this technology, the orthodontic practice moves closer to an evidence-based retention model, enhancing the overall effectiveness of post-treatment care.

Impact of retainers on oral health

The use of retainers, particularly fixed types, can affect oral health by complicating oral hygiene and increasing the risk of plaque accumulation and gingival inflammation.^[8] This section will review the evidence on the impact of different retainer types on oral health and discuss strategies for mitigating these effects through patient education and professional care.

PSYCHOLOGICAL AND SOCIAL FACTORS

The psychological and social aspects of wearing retainers can influence patient compliance and satisfaction. Concerns about esthetics, social interactions, and the perceived burden of wearing a retainer can affect adherence to retention protocols.^[9]

This section will explore these factors and provide insights into how orthodontists can address them to improve patient outcomes.

Longitudinal studies on retainer effectiveness

Longitudinal studies provide valuable insights into the long-term effectiveness of different retention strategies. These studies track patients over extended periods to evaluate the stability of orthodontic treatment outcomes and the incidence of relapse.^[10] This section will summarize the findings of key longitudinal studies and discuss their implications for clinical practice.

Orthodontic retainers are indispensable in maintaining the alignment and occlusal relationships achieved through orthodontic treatment. The effectiveness of retainers in preventing relapse is well-documented, but various factors influence their performance, including the type of retainer, patient compliance, and oral hygiene practices.

Future directions

Future research in orthodontic retention should focus on developing more effective and patient-friendly retention strategies. Exploring the use of biomaterials that inhibit bacterial growth and enhance retainer durability could improve oral health outcomes. In addition, personalized retention protocols based on genetic and biomechanical factors may offer a more tailored approach to preventing relapse.^[14]

CONCLUSION

Orthodontic retainers are critical for maintaining the results of orthodontic treatment. Both removable and fixed retainers have their advantages and disadvantages, with effectiveness often depending on patient compliance and oral hygiene practices. Advances in retainer materials and designs continue to improve their performance and patient satisfaction. Further research is needed to establish optimal retention protocols and address the challenges associated with long-term retainer use.

REFERENCES

1. Little RM. Stability and relapse of dental arch alignment. *Br J Orthod* 1990;17:235-41.
2. Rowland H, Hichens L, Williams A, Hills D, Killingback N, Ewings P, *et al.* The effectiveness of Hawley and vacuum-formed retainers: A single-center randomized controlled trial. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2007;132:730-7.
3. Artun J, Spadafora AT, Shapiro PA. A 3-year follow-up study of various types of orthodontic canine-to-canine retainers. *Eur J Orthod* 1997;19:501-9.
4. Blake M, Bibby K. Retention and stability: A review of the literature. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 1998;114:299-306.
5. Sheridan JJ, LeDoux W, McMinn R. Essix retainers: Fabrication and supervision for permanent retention. *J Clin Orthod* 1993;27:37-45.
6. Pratt MC, Kluemper GT, Hartsfield JK Jr., Fardo D, Nash DA. Evaluation of retention protocols among members of the American association of orthodontists in the United States. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2011;140:520-6.
7. O'Rourke N, Albeedh H, Sharma P, Johal A. Effectiveness of bonded and vacuum-formed retainers: A prospective randomized controlled clinical trial. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2016;150:406-15.

8. Littlewood SJ, Millett DT, Doubleday B, Bearn DR, Worthington HV. Retention procedures for stabilising tooth position after treatment with orthodontic braces. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016;1:CD002283.
9. Al-Moghrabi D, Littlewood SJ, Bearn DR. Long-term orthodontic retention. *J Orthod* 2016;43:194-202.
10. Zhang J, Schlappi H, Darendeliler MA, Bourke B. Retention in orthodontics: A survey of protocols and an evaluation of factors influencing retainer choice. *Aust Orthod J* 2014;30:26-33.
11. Valiathan M, Hughes E. Results of a survey-based study to identify common retention practices in the United States. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2010;137:170-7.
12. Keim RG, Gottlieb EL, Nelson AH, Vogels DS 3rd. 2008 JCO study of orthodontic diagnosis and treatment procedures. Part 2: Breakdowns of selected variables. *J Clin Orthod* 2008;42:625-36.
13. Johnston CD, Littlewood SJ. Retention in orthodontics. *Br Dent J* 2015;218:119-22.
14. Corbett A, Dowling PA, Johal A. The effect of fixed retainers on oral health: A systematic review. *Eur J Orthod* 2014;36:329-36.
15. Spatz I, Rues S, Beck M, Reimann S, Ruge S. Impact of fixed retainers on periodontal health-a systematic review. *J Orofac Orthop* 2021;82:1-13.
16. Christou T, Kiliaridis S. The impact of fixed and removable orthodontic appliances on dental and periodontal health. *Aust Dent J* 2008; 53:64-9.
17. Hichens L, Rowland H, Williams A, Hollinghurst S, Ewings P, Clark S, *et al*. Cost-effectiveness and patient satisfaction: Hawley and vacuum-formed retainers. *Eur J Orthod* 2007;29:372-8.
18. Joondeph DR. Retention and stability: An update. *Semin Orthod* 2007;13:208-13.
19. Edman Tynelius G, Bondemark L, Lilja-Karlander E. Evaluation of orthodontic treatment after 1 year of retention--a randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Orthod* 2010;32:542-7.
20. Ackerman MB, McRae MS, Longley WH. Microsensor technology to help monitor removable appliance wear. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2009;135:549-51.