Research Article

JRAD Journal of Research and Advancement in Dentistry

J Res Adv Dent 2019;10:1:193-197.

Dentin Hypersensitivity after full Coverage Restoration: A Clinical Evaluation

Vishal Singh[1] Ravi Kumar[2*] Tulika Shruti[3] Jay Kishore[4] Sharib Abdus Salam[5]

[1]Professor, Department of Dentistry, Heritage Institute of Medical Sciences, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India.
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Dentistry, Heritage Institute of Medical Sciences, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India.
[3]Senior Lecturer, Department of Public Health Dentistry, Vananchal Dental College & Hospital, Garhwa, Jharkhand, India.
[4]Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre, Sehdai Buzurg, Vaishali, India.
[5]Lecturer, Department of Periodontics, Patna Dental College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India.

ABSTRACT

Background: Dentin hypersensitivity can be characterized as an impermanent pain or misrepresented reaction from the exposed dentin to compound, material, warm or osmotic stimuli in the buccal condition, which would not typically happen in a solid tooth.

Materials and Methods: Complete 140 vital teeth for abutment of fixed partial prosthesis were taken for the investigation. Tooth wear estimated utilizing 'exact tooth wear index' and level of senstivity to improvements was recorded utilizing a numerical rating scale. Following tooth preparation radiograph utilizing paralleling strategy were utilized for estimation of remaining dentin thickness and pulp chamber.

Result: The total variation for pain could be clarified in 42% (anterior), 76% (premolar), and 72% (molar) by the autonomous factors and there was a noteworthy direct connection between the factors in the model. The outcomes demonstrated that females revealed more dentin hypersenstivity than men after tooth is prepared.

Conclusion: This examination researched not many potential factors that might be mulled over before referring the vital abutment tooth for deliberate root canal treatment so every endeavor can be made to maintain a strategic distance from pulp removal.

Keywords: Dentin hypersensitivity, hyperesthesia, desensitizing agents.

INTRODUCTION

Dentin hypersensitivity (DH) is portrayed by an excruciating response because of the presentation of dentin to substance, warm, tactile or osmotic non-harmful stimuli. As per the Hydrodynamic Theory, fluid movement in the dentinal tubules energizes mechanoreceptors in the outskirts of the pulp and triggers pain.[1] Consequently, DH treatment comprises of fixing the dentinal tubules or depolarizing the pulp's nerve fibers.[2] The physical system of the procedure is accomplished by the use of dentin desensitizing agents, for example, dentin bonding agents, composite resin, glass ionomer cements (GICs) and varnishes.[3] Although broad research on dentin hypersenstivity medicines has been led, no single treatment is presently acknowledged by all.

Hypersensitivity creates because of gingival recession, as well as erosion and abrasion of enamel, prompting exposure of the underlying dentine.[4] The hydrodynamic hypothesis of dentinal hypersenstivity theorizes that when stimulus is applied to dentine, the movement of fluid inside uncovered patent dentinal tubules invigorates the nerve forms in the pulpal territory of the dentine to transmit a sign that is seen as pain.[5] It has been accounted for that 10 to 30% of grown-ups are

Received: Sept. 3, 2019**: Accepted**: Nov. 1, 2019
*Correspondence **Dr. Ravi Kumar.**
Department of Dentistry, Heritage Institute of Medical Sciences, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India.
Email: Not Disclosed

pISSN 2278-0076
eISSN 2321-9270

influenced by dentin hypersenstivity .[6] Additionally, with more teeth being protected and held for longer periods, there will be an expanding request by patients associated with this awkward circumstance.[7] Females have been accounted for to have a higher frequency of hypersenstivity than males, despite the fact that the thing that matters isn't factually noteworthy, and the best occurrence has been reported in the 20 to 40 year-old gathering. The most of the time influenced teeth are premolars, trailed by molars, canines and incisors.[8]

Gender differences in the awareness of experimentally induced pain are well-known. Conversely, thinks about on gender differences in dentin hypersensitivity are sparse in the peer-reviewed literature.[9] The present study was designed to estimate and compare the incidence of dentin hypersensitivity among men and women in an adult population sample who required replacement of missing tooth/teeth with a fixed partial prosthesis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study selected healthy subjects aged 18–50 years with no clinically critical or significant variations from the norm on oral assessment. Subjects were required to have a self-revealed history of DH enduring over a half year however not over 10 years, and≥20 normal teeth. General prohibition criteria included pregnancy; breastfeeding; any known/suspected hypersensitivity/; support in another clinical examination or receipt of an investigational drug within 30 days of screening or participation in a tooth-desensitizing treatment study inside about two months of screening; presence of any constant incapacitating malady that could influence study result; any condition/current prescription causing clinically applicable xerostomia; daily utilization of drug that could influence pain recognition; current utilization of anti-toxins or use inside about fourteen days of pattern visit.

At the screening visit, each subject gave written informed consent to take part in the investigation before their statistic qualities, therapeutic history and utilization of accompanying drugs were recorded, and an oral soft tissue (OST) assessment was directed. Sensitive teeth were identified with an explorer passed cervically over the abutment tooth. Ten minutes following tactile stimulation, dentin hypersensitivity was recorded utilizing a stream of water to around a similar anatomical element of the tooth as had gotten the tactile stimulation. To review their general affectability utilizing a 10 cm visual simple scale (VAS), named at the boundaries with "no pain," at the 0 cm end of the scale, and "extreme pain," at the 10 cm end of the scale.[10]

The VAS is viewed as solid on the grounds that the measure of pain in one patient can be estimated on various times (in any event twice) and consequences of each test for that individual look at favorably. Measurements from the scale were made in millimeters giving a scoring scope of 0-10. After the VAS was recorded before tooth preparing, the subjects experienced tooth preparation of the abutment teeth for the fixed partial denture. The VAS was recorded following tooth preparation. The information were arranged and exposed to factual investigation.

RESULT

Comparison between men and women showed that women reported more dentin hypersensitivity than men based on the VAS scale. The total variation for pain could be clarified in 42% (anterior), 76% (premolar), and 72% (molar). Statistically significant results were obtained before and after tooth preparation in both men and women. Comparisons between men and women before and after tooth preparation showed statistically highly significant differences indicating that women were more sensitive than men both before and after tooth preparation.

Table 1: Comparison of dentin hypersensitivity between men and women before and after tooth preparation, based on the VAS scale

Gender	Before tooth preparation	After tooth preparation
Female	0.86 ±1.786	4.10±1.456
Male	0.42±0.432	3.89±1.234

DISCUSSION

A few treatment alternatives are accessible for managing dentin hypersensitivity, and the quick decrease of DH and long haul term of these desensitizing impacts are critical. Despite the fact that dentin hypersensitivity may influence any tooth, it much of the time includes canines and premolars.[11] Contrastingly to different examinations, premolars and molars were the primary teeth influenced in current clinical preliminary. The analysts incorporated any teeth with DH to get the best by and large outcomes for the two medicines assessed, regardless of type of teeth. DH-influenced teeth will in general shift among studies and populaces. In fact, the writing has enrolled distinctive dispersion patterns.[12]

In past clinical preliminaries assessing painful sensitivity in subjects, the utilization of multiple stimulus to assess this condition has been recommended.[13] In current examination, easily affected teeth were assessed utilizing a tactile test with a sharp dental explorer and an evaporative stimulus through an air impact from a dental air syringe. These techniques were beforehand powerful in the conclusion and assessment of DH.[14] Pain is a fairly sensitive thing and the evaluation of the helpful impact of a DH treatment might be difficult. However, the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) is broadly utilized and for the most part acknowledged for the appraisal of pain.

Ladies may understanding and report pain uniquely in contrast to men. Although, with any ailment, a larger number of ladies will in general present more agony than men.[15] Hormonal variety, adolescence, conceptive status, and menstrual cycle have all been appeared to influence pain limit and recognition.[16] As a rule, ladies have lower pain threshold and less resistance to harmful stimuli. Contrasts between men and women have likewise been ascribed to maladaptive adapting methodologies, such as catastrophizing. The stimuli used to evaluate sensitivity were tactile evaluation (where an explorer is passed over the sensitive lesion), and thermal assessment, that is a reaction to water at the water at room temperature and 16°C and 50°C, as warm tests and cold test specifically have a decent connection to the hypersensitivity indications experienced in day by day life. The temperatures of 16°C and 50°C had been chosen as these were the temperatures at which food and beverages were probably going to be every now and again devoured. [17,18]

During tooth planning for the crown the instrument cuts both enamel and dentin from the fundamentally bigger surface region of the tooth. Langeland et al.[19] announced that the temperature ascend during preparation of enamel and dentin joined is significantly more prominent than of getting ready dentin alone. During the examination time frame, 9 patients experienced steady pain and affectability that didn't diminish following multi weeks, the patients were held under oral pain relieving and temporary rebuilding. Following two weeks the abutment was managed with RCT t to reduce pain and uneasiness. Lockard MW[20] played out an investigation of pulpal reaction in vital teeth arranged for full veneer crown rebuilding utilizing just air coolant, proposed that the elements liable for limiting the requirement for post restoration endodontic treatment are; fast handpiece, light power (1-3 Oz), new-pods, air coolant and irregular water splash from the air-water syringe. In any case, the seriousness of the pulpal response is reliant upon the measure of frictional warmth and desiccation of dentin.[21] During tooth preparation the warmth produced by grinding may cause burn lesion in the pulp and abscess formation.[22]

Due to drying up of dentin during tooth preparation, the dentin turns out to be increasingly porous and the lost fluid might be loaded up with synthetic concoctions that may evoke unsafe reaction to pulp. A few treatment modalities and specialists have been utilized in the administration and goals of dentin extreme hypersensitivity, yet their adequacy has fluctuated starting with one study then onto the next and it isn't yet settled in the writing.[21,22] Further examine is expected to explain the components and etiology of this awkward clinical condition.

Clinical basic leadership about alluding the vital tooth for purposeful root canal treatment in order to maintain a strategic distance from conceivable dentinal hypersensitivity or plausibility of pulp exposure is for the most part dependent on the judgment of the clinician. This examination researched barely any potential factors that might be thought about while making arrangements for crown or fixed partial dental replacement in which abutment is vital teeth. The investigation shows that

there is a noteworthy impact of the watched factors towards the commitment of pain following tooth preparation i.e. dentine hypersensitivity.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare they have no potential conflict of interests regarding this article.

REFERENCES

1. M. Brännström, A hydrodynamic mechanism in the transmission of pain producingstimuli through dentine, in: D.J. Anderson (Ed.), Sensory Mechanisms in Dentine, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1963, pp. 73–79 Proceedings of a Symposium held at The Royal Society of Medicine, London, September 24th, 1962.

2. K. Markowitz, The original desensitizers: strontium and potassium salts, J. Clin. Dent. 20 (2009) 145–151.

3. S. Mason, N. Hughes, F. Sufi, L. Bannon, B. Maggio, M. North, J. Holt, A comparative clinical study investigating the efficacy of a dentifrice containing 8% strontium acetate and 1040 ppm fluoride in a silica base and a control dentifrice\ containing 1450 ppm fluoride in a silica base to provide immediate relief of dentin hypersensitivity, J. Clin. Dent. 21 (Spec Iss) (2010) 42–48.

4. L. Gendreau, A.P. Barlow, S.C. Mason, Overview of the clinical evidence for the use of NovaMin in providing relief from the pain of dentin hypersensitivity, J. Clin. Dent. 22 (2011) 90–95.

5. Rees JS, Addy M. A cross-sectional study of dentine hypersensitivity. J Clin Periodontol. 2002;29(11):997-1003. doi:10.1034/j.1600-051X.2002.291104.x

6. Neelakantan P, John S, Anand S, Sureshbabu N, Subbarao C. Fluoride release from a new glass-ionomer cement. Oper Dent. 2011;36(1):80-5. doi:10.2341/10-219-LR

7. Franco EB, Benetti AR, Ishikiriama SK, Santiago SL, Lauris JR, Jorge MF et al. 5-year clinical performance of resin composite versus resin modified glass ionomer restorative system in non-carious cervical lesions. Oper Dent. 2006;31(4):403-8. doi:10.2341/05-87

8. Yan Z, Sidhu SK, Mahmoud GA, Carrick TE, McCabe JF. Effects of temperature on the fluoride release and recharging ability of glass ionomers. Oper Dent. 2007;32(2):138-43. doi:10.2341/06-36

9. Drisko CH. Dentine hypersensitivity-Dental hygiene and periodontal considerations. Int Dent J 2002;52:385-93.

10. West NX. Dentine hypersensitivity: Preventive and therapeutic approaches to treatment. Periodontol 2000 2008;48:31-41.

11. Hara AT, Queiroz CS, Freitas PM, Giannini M, Serra MC, Cury JA. Fluoride release and secondary caries inhibition by adhesive systems on root dentine. Eur J Oral Sci. 2005;113(3):245-50.

12. Magno MB, Nascimento GC, Da Penha NK, Pessoa OF, Loretto SC, Maia LC. Difference in effectiveness between strontium acetate and arginine-based toothpastes to relieve dentin hypersensitivity: a systematic review. Am J Dent. 2015;28(1):40-4.

13. Sharif MO, Iram S, Brunton PA. Effectiveness of arginine-containing toothpastes in treating dentine hypersensitivity: a systematic review. J Dent. 2013;41(6):483-92.

14. 14. He T, Barker ML, Biesbrock AR, Miner M, Qaqish J, Sharma N. A clinical study to assess the effect of a stabilized stannous fluoride dentifrice on hypersensitivity relative to a marketed sodium fluoride/triclosan control. J Clin Dent. 2014;25(2):13-8.

15. Yeates R, Owens R, Jackson R, Newcombe RG, Addy M. A splitmouth placebo-controlled study to determine the effect of amorphous calcium phosphate in the treatment of dentin hypersensitivity. J Clin Periodontol 1998;25:687-692.

16. Vieira AHM, Passos VF, Assis JS, Mendonça JS, Santiago SL. Clinical evaluation of a 3% potassium oxalate gel and a GaAIAs laser for the treatment of dentinal hypersensitivity. Photomed Laser Surg 2009;27:807-812.

17. Greenhill JD, Pashley DH. The effects of desensitizing agents on the hydraulic conductance of human dentin *in vitro*. J Dent Res 1981;60:686-698.

18. Pashley DH, O'Meara JA, Kepler EE, Galloway SE, Thompson SM, Stewart FP. Dentin permeability. Effects of desensitizing dentifrice *in vitro*. J Periodontol 1984;55:522-525.

19. Langeland K, Langeland L. Cutting procedures with minimized trauma. J Am Dent Assoc. 1968 May ;76(5):991–1005.

20. Lockard MW. A retrospective study of pulpal response in vital adult teeth prepared for complete coverage restorations at ultrahigh speed using only air coolant. J Prosthet Dent. 2002 Nov;88(5):473–.

21. Hamilton AI, Kramer IR. Cavity preparation with and without water spray. Effects on the human dental pulp and additional effects on further dehydration of the dentin. British Dent J. 1967 Sept;123(6):281–5.

22. Stanley HR, Swerdlow H. Reaction of the human pulp to cavity preparation: results produced by eight different operative grinding techniques. J Am Dent Assoc. 1959 May ;58(6):49–59.